

Strategies to Improve Assay Sensitivity to Quantify Therapeutic Oligonucleotides

Altasciences, Laval, Canada

INTRODUCTION

Hybridization-based ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) and ECL (electrochemiluminescence) methods for quantifying therapeutic oligonucleotides have several advantages owing to their superior sensitivities, robustness, and lower costs. However, there is a high demand for assays with greater sensitivity with minimal to no sample clean-up in small sampling volumes. Herein, we present three cases where higher sensitivities were achieved by assessing various combinations of platforms, probe design, and signal amplification strategies. Additionally, such improvements have also resulted in a reduction of assay costs and workflow.

METHODS

Method 1

Transfer of a validated nuclease-dependent hybridization ELISA for quantifying an anti-sense oligo (ASO) from monkey to rabbit serum.

Original method characteristics:

- Sensitivity: 1 ng/mL
- Dynamic range: 100-fold
- LLOQ signal-to-noise ratio (SNR): 2.6
- Method observed a lower SNR at 1 ng/mL during transfer

Optimizations performed:

- Nuclease optimization (S1 nuclease to micrococcal nuclease)
- Use of Anti-digoxigenin antibody (platform switched to ECL)

Method 2

Development of a nuclease-dependent ELISA to quantify an antibodyphosphorodiamidate morpholio (PMO) conjugate in mouse tissue homogenates.

Optimizations performed:

- Multiple platforms/probes: single-probe ELISA vs dual-probe ECL
- Multiple protease treatment conditions

Method 3

Re-optimizing a qualified nuclease-dependent ECL method for PMO quantitation in mouse tissue homogenates.

Original method characteristics:

- Sensitivity: 1 ng/mL
- Dynamic range: 200-fold
- LLOQ SNR: 5

Optimizations performed:

- Probe optimization (assay switched to dual-probe format)
- Signal amplification (probe labeled with U-digoxigenin by terminal transferase for a 3' dAU tail + ruthenylated antibody)

Vaibhav Naresh Mehta, Elizabeth Ruiz Lancheros, and Danielle Salha

RESULTS Method 1 **ELISA ECLIA** Fluorophore 冬 **17** N Avidin coated plate Figure 1. Schematic representation of the assay used in Method 1 Assay Performance: Table 2. Micrococcal Nuclease-Dependent ECLIA in Rabbit Serum **Table 1.** S1 Nuclease-Dependent ELISA in Monkey Serum Measured Sample Name Sample Conc. Name (ng/mL) Instrument Response nstrument %Bias SNR %Bias SNR Conc. Conc. Conc. response (ng/mL) (ng/mL) (ng/mL) 0.042 NA NA NA NA NA 372.5 BL 107.5 NA NA BL 0.8 5.9 STD 1 0.985 0.500 634.5 0.504 STD 1 957.0 -1.6 2.6 1.00 STD 8 200 196015.0 198 -1.0 1823.4 2.9 110.8 STD 8 100 41273.5 103 8 100000-र्रे 10000-10000-1000-1000-10 100 1000 10 100 1000 1 Nominal Conc. Nominal Conc. Figure 3. Representative calibration curve ECLIA Figure 2. Representative calibration curve ELISA **Table 3.** Precision and accuracy (7 runs) Table 4. Cross-Reactivity From Metabolites Dig LOQ QC LQC (0.500 (1.50 HQC ULOQ QC (150 (200 MQC (0.500 (1.50 (10.0 (150 (200 ng/mL) ng/mL) ng/mL) ng/mL) ng/mL) (10.0 0.497 9.49 144 Mean 1.48 186 Biotin Biotin Biotin S.D 0.0479 0.0823 0.375 6.31 7.74 %Cross-9.6 4.0 %CV 5.6 4.4 4.2 N-2 N-4 5' end 5' end N-1

Reactivity

ECLIA

-7.2

-3.7

3' end

Conclusion:

%Bia

%TE

Sensitivity: 0.5 ng/mL

-0.6

10.2

- Dynamic range: 400-fold
- Superior SNR throughout the curve range
- 60% reduction in costs/plate

-1.3

-5.1

6.8 9.0 8.1 11.4

• 37.5% reduction in the workflow (from 8h to 5h)

5' end

40.538.119.47.053.042.21.60.3

Overall reduction in cross-reactivity from N-2 and N-4 5' end metabolites

Method 2

Figure 4 and Table 5. Platform/Probe Design Preliminary Test (Control Free PMO)



	Nominal	Instrument response			
Sample Name	Conc (ng/mL)	Dual probe set 1 (ECL)	Dual probe set 2 (ECL)	Single- probe (ELISA)	
BL	NA	54.0	47.5	58.5	
STD 1	0.100	69.0	171.0	890.0	
STD 2	0.200	91.0	295.0	1658.5	
STD 3	0.500	139.0	667.0	3829.0	
STD 4	1.00	187.0	1271.0	7411.0	
STD 5	4.00	646.0	4905.0	24693.0	
STD 6	10.0	1833.0	12503.0	37538.5	
STD 7	40.0	11268.0	48988.0	42283.5	
STD 8	80.0	35196.0	101763.0	40127.5	
STD 9	200.0	123786.0	230954.0	38796.5	
STD 10	350.0	197478.0	284668.0	37541.0	
STD 11	400.0	217999.0	292451.0	38431.0	
LLOC	SNR	1.3	3.6	15.2	

Figure 5 and Table 6. Protease Optimization for Analyte (Antibody-PMO)



/					
Sample	Nominal	Without Trypsin		With Trypsin	
Name	(ng/mL)	Signal	SNR	Signal	SNR
BL	NA	148	NA	50	NA
STD1	0.0300	91	0.6	162	3.2
STD2	0.0600	124	0.8	270	5.4
STD3	0.100	155	1.0	479	9.6
STD4	0.400	492	3.3	1574	31.5
STD5	1.00	1123	7.6	4026	80.5
STD6	4.00	4799	32.4	14752	295.0
STD8	10.0	12120	81.9	31001	620.0
Achievable LLOQ		0.400		0.0300	

Conclusion:

Trypsin treatment reduces background and improved the

- signal output by at least 2- to 3-fold
- Sensitivity: 0.03 ng/mL
- Dynamic range: 333-fold
- MRD 1/2
- Multiple muscle tissue types
- (transgenic) qualified and analyzed against a curve prepared in mouse quadriceps

Table 7.	Qualification	of 6	Tissue	
		0.0		

	Neat	LLOQ (ng/m	LLOQ QC (ng/mL) HQC (ng/mL)		g/mL)	Pass
Tissue type		0.0300		7.50		
	Conc ng/mL	Conc ng/mL	%Bias	Conc ng/mL	%Bias	(Y/N)
Heart	<lloq< td=""><td>0.0345</td><td>15.0</td><td>8.96</td><td>19.5</td><td>Y</td></lloq<>	0.0345	15.0	8.96	19.5	Y
Gastrocnemius	<lloq< td=""><td>0.0277</td><td>-7.7</td><td>7.87</td><td>4.9</td><td>Y</td></lloq<>	0.0277	-7.7	7.87	4.9	Y
Quadriceps	<lloq< td=""><td>0.0309</td><td>3.0</td><td>7.62</td><td>1.6</td><td>Y</td></lloq<>	0.0309	3.0	7.62	1.6	Y
Tibialis anterior	<lloq< td=""><td>0.0294</td><td>-2.0</td><td>7.34</td><td>-2.1</td><td>Y</td></lloq<>	0.0294	-2.0	7.34	-2.1	Y
Diaphragm	<lloq< td=""><td>0.0329</td><td>9.7</td><td>7.26</td><td>-3.2</td><td>Y</td></lloq<>	0.0329	9.7	7.26	-3.2	Y
Deltoid	<lloq< td=""><td>0.0348</td><td>16.0</td><td>6.93</td><td>-7.6</td><td>Y</td></lloq<>	0.0348	16.0	6.93	-7.6	Y

Data shown from 1 lot/tissue for representative purposes only

Method 3

Samp Nam	le e	No C (n		
BL				
STD	1			
STD 1	10	2		
Instrument Response	100 10	0000-		



Sample Name		
L	1	
D 1	0.0	
D 9	1	
Instrument Response	00000 10000 1000	
	Instrument Response	

100

Figure 7. Assay performance: Dual Probe ECLIA in Mouse Tissues

CONCLUSIONS

01

Nominal Conc.

Bioanalytical methods for oligo therapeutics can be improved by assessing a combination of reading platforms, probe design, and signal amplification strategies. These improvements provide robust, cost- and time-efficient methods and serve as templates for developing methods for clinical studies requiring high sensitivities with low sampling volumes.

<u>Click here to listen to the</u> recorded poster presentation

Figure 6 and Table 8. Assay performance: Micrococcal Nuclease-Dependent ECL in Mouse Tissues %Bias SNR rminal transferase Table 9. Dig labeling NA 103 NA Single 15 min 30 min 45 m² Conc. ng/mL) 596 1.140 14 5.8 96951.5 210.8 5.4 941.3 64.5 60.5 57 132 216.5 249 157 364.5 435.5 596.5 246 0.0200 679 816.5 1098. 417 1626 2011.5 2535 931 0.100 STD4 6529 7326 9815.5 STD5 0.400 3569 8668.5 14709 17605.5 23798 1.000 4.000 33929 61548 73995 100430 8.000 66891.5 119811 139701 187443 100 STD9 10.00 86408 145670 173435 213239 Nominal Conc. LLOQ SNR 2.4 3.6 4.4 2.7 Table 10. Assay performance: Dual Probe ECLIA in Mouse Tissues 1339.7 2407.8 3042.7 1615. ULOQ SNR **ECLIA** Measured nstrument Conc. 8 %Bias SNR response (ng/mL) ECL NA NA NA **//**\\ 49.5 .0100 137 0.0102 10.0 78976.5 10.3 2.0 2.8 Ru U-Dig AAAA U-Dig A 2.9 1595.5 Biotin Biotin Avidin coated plate Figure 8. Assay performance: Dual Probe ECLIA in Mouse Tissues

Conclusion:

- 100-fold higher sensitivity
- Sensitivity: 0.01 ng/mL
- Dynamic range: 1000-fold
- MRD 1/4
- Amenable for transfer to human tissues with low sampling volumes